CEHD 748

REQUEST TO COLLEGE CURRICULUM COMMITTEE FOR CURRICULAR IMPROVEMENTS			
DEPARTMENT: SPLS PI PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT	ROPOSED EFFECTIVE SEMESTER: Fall 2017	COLLEGE: CEHD	
Academic Program New degree* New major* New curriculum* New concentration* New certificate New minor Revised major Revised minor Admission requirements Graduation requirements Deletion Transfer Other (explain**)	Substantive Course Changes New course Pre or Co-requisites Deletion (required by others) Course #, different level Credit hours Enrollment restriction Course-level restriction Prefix Title and description (attach current & proposed) General education (select one) Not Applicable Other (explain**)	Misc. Course Changes Title x Description (attach current or possible condition) Course #, same level Variable credit Credit/no credit Cross-listing COGE reapproval Other (explain**)	
Title of degree, curriculum, major, minor, concentration, or certificate:			IVED
Existing course prefix and #:	Proposed course prefix and #: Credit hou		
Existing course title: LS 5160	Professional Symposium in Reading	OCT 1	
Proposed course title:	A 1841 In the Sestion of Femore Source in University	College of Ec Human Dev	ucation and
Proposed course co-requisite(s If there are multiple corequis Proposed course prerequisite(s Is there a minimum grade for th The default grades are D for Major/minor or classification res List the Banner 4 character For 5000 level prerequisites & c Specifications for University Sc a. Course title (maximum of 30 s b. Multi-topic course: In No c. Repeatable for credit: No d. Mandatory credit/no credit: e. Type of class and contact hor 1. In Lecture 2. In Lab or discussion	isites, connect with "and" or "or". To remove prerequisites, enter) NA sites, they are always joined by "and.") that can also be taken concurrently: YES, remains to the prerequisites or corequisites undergraduates and C for graduates. strictions: codes and whether they should be included or excluded. orequisites: Do these apply to: (circle one) undergraduate of Classes: spaces): Yes Yes No Yes No Yes Lecture/lab/discussion 4. Seminar or studio 6. Su	he same aduates graduates both OCT	OAUS 3 1 2018 eccived
CIP Code (Registrar's use only):			
Chair/Director	J. puly	Date /	111/16
Chair, College Curriculum Commit	tee Carlo	Date	
Dean M ~ K Fetter	Date: /0/28//4 Graduate Dean:	Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Curriculum Manager: Return to dean Date Forward to:			
Chair, COGE/ PEB / FS President FOR PROPOSALS REQUIRING		Date	
* Approve Disapprove	chair, GSC/USC	Date	
* \square Approve \square Disapprove	Provost	Date	

1. Explain briefly and clearly the proposed improvement.

The Literacy Studies faculty is proposing a course description.

পটি minor updates/edits to the

2. Rationale. Give your reason(s) for the proposed improvement. (If your proposal includes prerequisites, justify those, too.)

There are three reasons we needed to revise this course description:

- 1. MDE requirement and approval of this course for professional certification of all K-12 teachers
- 2. Current standards in the field
- 3. Evolution of the course when when the course was used as the course to meet MDE's legislation requirements...the idea of description process never changed. This update will align all content, it is well as the course to meet MDE's legislation requirements...the idea of description with the course was used as the course to meet MDE's legislation requirements...the idea of description with the course was used as the course to meet MDE's legislation requirements...the idea of description with the course was used as the course to meet MDE's legislation requirements...the idea of description with the course was used as the course to meet MDE's legislation requirements...the idea of description with the course was used as the course to meet MDE's legislation requirements...the idea of description with the course was used as the course will align all content, the idea of description with the course was used as the course will align all content, the idea of description with the course was used as the course will be a course of the course will be a course of the course of the course was used as the course of the course of the course was used as the course of the c
- 3. Effect on other colleges, departments or programs. If consultation with others is required, attach evidence of consultation and support. If objections have been raised, document the resolution. Demonstrate that the program you propose is not a duplication of an existing one.

NA

4. Effect on your department's programs. Show how the proposed change fits with other departmental offerings.

NA

- 5. Effects on enrolled students: Are program conflicts avoided? Will your proposal make it easier or harder for students to meet graduation requirements? Can students complete the program in a reasonable time? Show that you have considered scheduling needs and demands on students' time. If a required course will be offered during summer only, provide a rationale.
 NA
- 6. Student or external market demand. What is your anticipated student audience? What evidence of student or market demand or need exists? What is the estimated enrollment? What other factors make your proposal beneficial to students?
- NA
 Effects on resources. Explain how your proposal would affect department and University resources, including faculty, equipment, space, technology, and library holdings. Tell how you will staff additions to the program. If more advising will be needed, how will you provide for it? How often will course(s) be offered? What will be the initial one-time costs and the ongoing base-funding costs for the proposed program? (Attach additional pages, as necessary.)
- 8. General education criteria. For a general education course, indicate how this course will meet the criteria for the area or proficiency. (See the General Education Policy for descriptions of each area and proficiency and the criteria. Attach additional pages as necessary. Attach a syllabus if (a) proposing a new course, (b) requesting certification for baccalaureate-level writing, or (c) requesting reapproval of an existing course.) NA
- List the learning outcomes for the proposed course or the revised or proposed major, minor, or concentration. These
 are the outcomes that the department will use for future assessments of the course or program. NA everything
 remains the same.
- 10. Describe how this curriculum change is a response to assessment outcomes that are part of a departmental or college assessment plan or informal assessment activities.

This curriculum change does improve the LS Unit's program assessment outcomes in that the updated description is aligned with current standards and research. It also more effectively describes the course experience.

11. (Undergraduate proposals only) Describe, in detail, how this curriculum change affects transfer articulation for Michigan community colleges. For course changes, include detail on necessary changes to transfer articulation from Michigan community college courses. For new majors or minors, describe transfer guidelines to be developed with Michigan community colleges. For revisions to majors or minors, describe necessary revisions to Michigan community college guidelines. Department chairs should seek assistance from college advising directors or from the admissions office in completing this section. NA

New/Proposed Catalog Copy

Readure

LS 5160 Professional Symposium in

This course meets the Michigan reading course requirement for K-12 teachers seeking professional certification. The course examines reading, writing, and thinking processes with an emphasis on reading, writing, and communication across disciplines and across all grade levels. Special emphasis will be placed on the foundations of reading and writing, language development; vocabulary/concept development; sociocultural and linguistic factors that influence literacy, and the effective use of standards, strategic instruction, and assessment to support the diverse needs of all students.

3 hours

OLD Catalog Copy

LS 5160 Professional Symposium in Reading

This course is designed to be the initial course in the graduate program in reading. It is designed to present the basic concepts concerning the nature of the reading process and the teaching of reading. Emphasis will be placed on reading as a thinking process and on factors affecting reading performance. Special emphasis will be placed on child development; language development; concept development; physical, psychological, and environmental factors affecting the child's learning to read. In addition, the course will provide a brief overview of the delivery systems and procedures used in the U.S. to teach reading. This will involve an historical overview as well as current and potential future practices.

3 hours