

Table of Contents

	page
Foreword	
Executive Summary	i
Table of Contents	viii
List of Tables, Figures and Appendices	x
Acknowledgments	xiii
List of Acronyms	xiv
Chapter 1 Introduction and Methodological Frame of the Evaluation	
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Objectives of the Evaluation	3
1.3 Recent Studies on Michigan’s Charter School Initiative	5
1.4 Methodology and Data Collection	7
Chapter 2 Impact of Charter Schools and the Mobility of Students	
2.1 Impact of Charter Schools on Traditional Public Schools	12
2.2 Impact of Charter Schools on Private/Parochial Schools	16
2.3 Impact of Charter Schools on Surrounding Communities	18
2.4 Student Mobility To and From Charter Schools	19
2.5 Patterns and Impacts of Charter School Revenues and Expenditures	22
2.6 The Presence and Diffusion of Charter School Innovations	24
Chapter 3 Special Education and Michigan’s Charter Schools	
3.1 Federal and State Legislative Requirements	27
3.2 Michigan Charter School Legislation and Organization	28
3.3 Numbers of Students Receiving Special Educational	
3.4 Special Education Service Delivery in Charter Schools	39

Chapter 4 The Role and Impact of Educational Management Organizations

4.1	Introduction	43
4.2	The Growth and Nature of EMOs	43
4.3	Private Aspects of Public Charter Schools in Michigan	48

Chapter 5 Charter School Accountability and Performance

5.1	Data and Methods	50
5.2	Analysis of MEAP Results	53
5.3	Other Evidence of Student Academic Performance	80

Chapter 6 Discussion of Key Findings

6.1	Impact of Charter Schools on Other Schools and Their Communities	84
6.2	Recommendations Regarding Special Education Services	85
6.3	Safeguards to Limit the Negative Impact of EMOs	86
6.4	Accountability and the Role of the Media and Advocacy Groups	87
6.5	Accountability and the Role of Authorizing Agencies and the State	88
6.6	Designing an Effective Performance Accountability Plan for Michigan's Charter Schools	89
6.8	Conclusions	93

References	94
------------	----

Appendices	97
------------	----

List of Tables, Figures and Appendices

Tables

Table 2:1	Movement of Students (Grades 1-12) To and From Grand Rapids Public Schools .	18
Table 2:2	Movement of Students (Grades 1-12) To and From Holland Public Schools	19
Table 2:3	Movement of Students To and From Kalamazoo Public Schools	20
Table 3:1	Disability Category Definitions and Michigan 1998 Enrollment	29
Table 3:2	Percentage of Enrolled Students with Disabilities by Category	30
Table 3:3	Percent of Students Enrolled in Charter Schools, Sorted by EMO	38
Table 4:1	EMOs and Number of Charter Schools They Operate in Michigan (1999/2000) . .	45
Table 5:1	Comparison of Charter School and Host District Changes in 4th Grade Math	57
Table 5:2	Comparison of Charter School and Host District Changes in 4th Grade Reading .	57
Table 5:3	Comparison of Charter School and Host District Changes in 5th Grade Science . .	62
Table 5:4	Comparison of Charter School and Host District Changes in 5th Grade Writing . .	62
Table 5:5	Comparison of Charter School and Host District Changes in 7th Grade Math	63
Table 5:6	Comparison of Charter School and Host District Changes in 7th Grade Reading .	67
Table 5:7	Comparison of Charter School and Host District Changes in 8th Grade Science . .	71
Table 5:8	Comparison of Charter School and Host District Changes in 8th Grade Writing . .	71
Table 5:9	Comparison of Charter School and Host District Changes in 7th Grade Reading .	80
Table 5:10	Matrix of Students' Assessment Activities in Michigan Charter Schools	81

Figures

Figure 3:1	Percent of Students with Disabilities in Charter Schools Compared with the State of Michigan	34
Figure 3:2	Distribution of All Students with Disabilities Across Diagnostic Categories: Charter Schools Compared with the State of Michigan	35
Figure 3:3	Percent of Students with Disabilities in Charter Schools Comparison Between Schools With and Without EMOs	37
Figure 4:1	Total Number of MI Management Companies by Year	44
Figure 4:2	Percent of Michigan Charter Schools Operated by EMOs by Year	44
Figure 4:3	Growth of Major EMOs in Michigan	45
Figure 4:4	Typology of EMOs Operating in Michigan	46
Figure 5:1	4th Grade Math Trends	54
Figure 5:2	4th Grade Math: Trends by School Cohort	54
Figure 5:3	4th Grade Reading Trends	54
Figure 5:4	4th Grade Reading: Trends by School Cohort	54
Figure 5:5	Comparison of Changes Over Time Between Charter School and Host District, Grade 4 Math	55

Figure 5:6	Comparison of Changes Over Time Between Charter School and Host District, Grade 4 Reading	56
Figure 5:7	5th Grade Science Trends	59
Figure 5:8	5th Grade Science: Trends by School Cohort	59
Figure 5:9	5th Grade Writing Trends	59
Figure 5:10	5th Grade Writing: Trends by School Cohort	59
Figure 5:11	Comparison of Changes Over Time Between Charter School and Host District, Grade 5 Science	60
Figure 5:12	Comparison of Changes Over Time Between Charter School and Host District, Grade 5 Writing	61
Figure 5:13	7th Grade Math Trends	64
Figure 5:14	7th Grade Math: Trends by School Cohort	64
Figure 5:15	7th Grade Reading Trends	64
Figure 5:16	7th Grade Reading: Trends by School Cohort	64
Figure 5:17	Comparison of Changes Over Time Between Charter School and Host District, Grade 7 Math	65
Figure 5:18	Comparison of Changes Over Time Between Charter School and Host District, Grade 7 Reading	66
Figure 5:19	8th Grade Science Trends	68
Figure 5:20	8th Grade Science: Trends by School Cohort	68
Figure 5:21	8th Grade Writing Trends	68
Figure 5:22	8th Grade Writing: Trends by School Cohort	68
Figure 5:23	Comparison of Changes Over Time Between Charter School and Host District, Grade 8 Science	69
Figure 5:24	Comparison of Changes Over Time Between Charter School and Host District, Grade 8 Writing	70
Figure 5:25	11th Grade Math Trend	72
Figure 5:26	11th Grade Reading Trend	72
Figure 5:27	11th Grade Science Trend	72
Figure 5:28	11th Grade Writing Trend	72
Figure 5:29	11th Grade Math: Trends by School Cohort	73
Figure 5:30	11th Grade Reading: Trends by School Cohort	73
Figure 5:31	11th Grade Science: Trends by School Cohort	73
Figure 5:32	11th Grade Writing: Trends by School Cohort	73
Figure 5:33	Grade 4 Math Trends for Select EMOs	75
Figure 5:34	Grade 4 Reading Trends for Select EMOs	75
Figure 5:35	Grade 5 Science Trends for Select EMOs	76
Figure 5:36	Grade 5 Writing Trends for Select EMOs	76
Figure 5:37	Grade 7 Math Trends for Select EMOs	77
Figure 5:38	Grade 7 Reading Trends for Select EMOs	77
Figure 5:39	Grade 8 Science Trends for Select EMOs	78
Figure 5:40	Grade 8 Writing Trends for Select EMOs	78

Appendices

- Appendix A Maps Highlighting the Development and Evolution of the Michigan Charter School Initiative, 1995-2000
- Appendix B Descriptions of the Larger Educational Management Organizations Operating in Michigan
- Appendix C MEAP Results by Grade, Subject and Year (These tables will be available on-line)
- Appendix D Comparisons of Current Operating Expenditure and Revenues Between Charter Schools and Their Host Districts
- Appendix E Distribution of Students by Grade Level, by School Level and by EMOs

Acknowledgments

This was a complex study, and it could not have been completed without the cooperation and commitment of a number of individuals. First, we want to acknowledge and thank the representatives of the charter schools, the authorizers, and others who provided us with information and suggestions for inquiries that might never have surfaced through formal channels. Secondly, we want to thank Dr. Donald Weatherspoon and Ms. Carolee Mikulcik of the Michigan Department of Education. Their support, cooperation, and understanding of the issues as well as their recognition of the many difficulties of conducting a study for which there is so much emotional involvement and investment in an untried initiative are greatly appreciated.

The actual evaluation team was quite large and diverse. Some persons were involved in specific parts of the study while others were involved in several phases from data collection to final report writing. Field researchers included Lanette Grate, Carla Howe, and Kim Reynolds. Carla and Kim were also instrumental in making revisions to the final report. Joan Farland helped to collect materials from the charter schools as well as assist in the analysis of accountability measures used by the charter schools. Much of Chapter 3 on special education was researched and prepared by Deborah Lehmann. Christopher Nelson conducted a considerable amount of the analysis of the MEAP test data and wrote up most of Chapter 5. Zafar Khaja conducted the analysis of the financial data and helped to write the sections dealing with finance. William Post assisted with sorting out the MDE data bases and provided us with manageable data sets. He also prepared the maps found in Appendix A. Christine Hummel prepared the cover page. Sally Veeder edited various versions of the report. Others who contributed to the preparation of this final report include Brooks Applegate, Brian Carnell, and Mary Ramlow. From this long list of names and contributions, one can clearly see that this was a team effort. While having such a large number of persons involved can lead to confusion and repetition at times, we feel confident that the evaluation has benefited from the expertise and diverse perspectives of the various evaluation team members.

Finally, we want to express our personal appreciation to Daniel Stufflebeam, who founded The Evaluation Center and has developed it as a national and international research and evaluation center. He has provided encouragement and advice throughout the study, and this has improved our effort and reminded us of the importance of this study for decision makers and other readers.

Jerry Horn and Gary Miron

July 2000

List of Acronyms

ACT	American College Testing	MAPSA	Michigan Association of Public School Academies
AI	Autistic Impaired		
ANSI	American National Standards Institute	MAT	Metropolitan Achievement Test
CASA	Comprehensive Adult Student Assessment System	MDE	Michigan Department of Education
CAT	California Achievement Test	MEAP	Michigan Educational Assessment Program
COE	current operating expenditures	MI	Mentally Impaired
CTBS	Comprehensive Test of Basic Skills	MPSERS	Michigan Public Schools Employee Retirement System
EI	Emotionally Impaired	NHA	National Heritage Academies
EMI	Educable Mentally Impaired	POHI	Physically or Otherwise Health Impaired
ESS	Michigan Employment Skills System		
FOIR	Freedom of Information Request	PPI	Preprimary Impaired
FTEs	Full-Time Equivalent	PSAs	Public School Academies
GRCS	Grand Rapids Christian Schools	SAT	Stanford Achievement Test
GRPS	Grand Rapids Public Schools	SCANS	Secretary's Commission on Achieving Necessary Skills
HCS	Holland Christian Schools	SLI	Speech and Language Impaired
HI	Hearing Impaired	SXI	Severely Multiply Impaired
IEPs	Individual Education Plans	TABE	Test of Academic Basic Education
ISDs	Intermediate School Districts	TMI	Trainable Mentally Impaired
ITBS	Iowa Tests of Basic Skills	VI	Visually Impaired
KPS	Kalamazoo or Kentwood Public Schools	WMU	Western Michigan University
LEAs	Local Education Agencies	WRAT	Wide Range Achievement Test
LD	Learning Disabled		